The Chemical Evolution of Magnesium Isotopic Abundances in the Solar Neighbourhood
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Abstract

The abundance of the neutron-rich magnesium isotopes observed in metal-poor stars is explained quantitatively with a chemical evolution model of the local Galaxy that considers - for the first time - the metallicity-dependent contribution from intermediate mass stars. Previous models that simulate the variation of Mg isotopic ratios with metallicity in the solar neighbourhood have attributed the production of $^{25}$Mg and $^{26}$Mg exclusively to hydrostatic burning in massive stars. These models match the data well for [Fe/H] $> -1.0$ but severely underestimate $^{25,26}$Mg/$^{24}$Mg at lower metallicities. Earlier studies have noted that this discrepancy may indicate a significant role played by intermediate-mass stars. Only recently have detailed calculations of intermediate-mass stellar yields of $^{25}$Mg and $^{26}$Mg become available with which to test this hypothesis. In an extension of previous work, we present a model that successfully matches the Mg isotopic abundances in nearby Galactic disk stars through the incorporation of nucleosynthesis predictions of Mg isotopic production in asymptotic giant branch stars.
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1 Introduction

Magnesium is one of the few elements for which the stellar abundance of individual isotopes can be reliably measured. The relative abundances of Mg isotopes provide a useful probe into the nucleosynthesis history of the Milky Way because they have their origin in different classes of stars. According to standard theories of stellar evolution, most of the Mg isotopes originate from massive stars. The heavy Mg isotopes behave as secondary elements inside massive stars and their production scales with the number of initial “seed” nuclei. Consequently, very little $^{25,26}$Mg is synthesised by massive stars until an initial [Fe/H] of $\sim -1$ is reached, whereas the generation of $^{24}$Mg operates fairly independently of initial metallicity.

$^{25}$Mg and $^{26}$Mg are detected in metal-poor stars in higher proportions than one would expect if these neutron-rich isotopes originated exclusively from massive stars (Gay & Lambert 2000). Detailed models of the evolution of Mg isotopic ratios find that massive stars alone are insufficient to account for the values of $^{25,26}$Mg/$^{24}$Mg at low [Fe/H], hinting at an additional production site (Timmes et al. 1995; Alibes et al. 2001; Goswami & Prantzos 2000).

Karakas & Lattanzio (2003a,b) have shown that $^{25}$Mg and $^{26}$Mg production is substantial in metal-poor intermediate-mass stars (IMS). In the low-metallicity regime, asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars are believed
to generate \(^{25}\text{Mg}\) and \(^{26}\text{Mg}\) from alpha capture onto \(^{22}\text{Ne}\) triggered by He-shell thermal pulsing. More massive AGB stars \((4 < m/M_\odot < 6)\) are less common than lower mass stars but may be a significant production site for \(^{25}\text{Mg}\) and \(^{26}\text{Mg}\). Temperatures at the base of the convective envelope in these stars can be high enough to burn \(^{24}\text{Mg}\) via hot bottom burning (HBB) as well as synthesise large amounts of \(^{25}\text{Mg}\) and \(^{26}\text{Mg}\). We explore the possibility that AGB stars produce sufficient quantities of \(^{25}\text{Mg}\) and \(^{26}\text{Mg}\) to resolve the discrepancy between observations and previous model predictions.

2 The Chemical Evolution Model

The temporal and radial evolution of the isotopic abundances in the Milky Way was calculated under the assumption that the Galaxy formed via the accretion of gas at a rate decreasing exponentially with time. For the sake of simplicity, we assumed only a single episode of primordial gas accretion, with a timescale of 7 Gyr at the solar radius. However the results were not significantly different for a two-phase accretion model.

We traced the chemical elements through the ongoing cycles of star formation, nucleosynthesis, and ejection into the interstellar medium (ISM) via supernovae (SNe) explosions and stellar winds. In order to precisely monitor the abundances of isotopes with different production sites, mass- and metallicity-dependent stellar lifetimes and yields were employed.

The rate of star formation in this model varies with the square of the gas surface density and inversely with Galactocentric radius. This type of radially-dependent law is motivated by the idea that spiral arm patterns trigger star formation (e.g. Prantzos & Silk 1998). The mass distribution of each new generation of stars was governed by the Kroupa, Tout & Gilmore (1993) three-component initial mass function (IMF), with lower and upper mass limits of 0.8 and 100 M_\odot, respectively.

Three basic models were constructed, differing only in the adopted nucleosynthesis prescriptions. Firstly, \(LSC + AGB\) refers to a combination of low and intermediate-mass stellar yields from Karakas & Lattanzio (2003a,b) and a grid of mass and metallicity dependent \((Z = 0, 10^{-3} \& 0.02)\) massive star yields provided by Limongi (2001, unpublished), calculated using the FRANEC code described in Limongi, Straniero & Chieffi (2000) and Limongi & Chieffi (2002). The second model, \(LSC \text{ no AGB}\), is identical to the first model but ignores the AGB contribution to \(^{25}\text{Mg}\) and \(^{26}\text{Mg}\) production. Finally, the model \(WW \text{ no AGB}\) replicates \(LSC \text{ no AGB}\) but uses metallicity-dependent Woosley & Weaver (1995) yields for massive stars. All models adopt yields for Type Ia SNe from the W7 model of Iwamoto et al. (1999). The SNe Ia contribution to chemical evolution was calculated following the method from Matteucci & Greggio (1986). It was assumed that 3% of binaries culminate in SNe Ia, since this fraction provides a good fit to the present-day SNe Ia rate (e.g. Alibes et al. 2001). For stars whose metallicity lies below (above) the range covered by the nucleosynthesis models we estimate their yields by extrapolating from the two lowest (highest) metallicity grids.

The Karakas & Lattanzio (2003a,b) stellar models comprise a grid of Mg isotopic yields covering a range of low to intermediate stellar mass \((1 \leq m/M_\odot \leq 6)\) and a variety of compositions \((Z = 0.004, 0.008 \& 0.02\), supplemented by an unpublished 0.0001 grid calculated with the same code) that is well-suited for chemical evolution models. These models have been evolved from the pre-main sequence to near the end of the thermal-pulsing AGB phase. The nucleosynthesis calculations are performed separately to determine the production of the isotopes.

3 Results & Discussion

Figure 1 shows the predicted evolution of magnesium isotopic ratios with [Fe/H] from the models \(LSC + AGB\) \((\text{solid line})\) and \(LSC \text{ no AGB}\) \((\text{dotted line})\) for the solar region. \(^{24}\text{Mg}/^{25}\text{Mg}\) and \(^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}\) are shown in the upper and lower panels, respectively, along with measured abundance ratios in local dwarfs from Gay & Lambert (2000) and cool subdwarfs from Yong (2003). Representative observational errors are indicated by the large crosses. Although the quoted errors for \(^{25}\text{Mg}\) and \(^{26}\text{Mg}\) are identical in both studies, \(^{26}\text{Mg}\) is expected to be more accurately determined than \(^{25}\text{Mg}\) because the \(^{26}\text{Mg}\) line is less blended with \(^{24}\text{Mg}\) line. Both models shown in Figure 1 predict ratios larger than solar (indicated by squares) but consistent with the data of Gay & Lambert. It is not surprising that the models reach similar present-day values irrespective of whether AGBs are included, because massive stars are responsible for most of the neutron-rich Mg isotopes in the present-day ISM. In this model 10% of \(^{26}\text{Mg}\) present in the ISM at [Fe/H] = 0 comes from AGB stars compared with \(\sim 70\%\) at [Fe/H] = −1 and nearly 90% at [Fe/H] = −2.

The dotted lines reveal that below [Fe/H] \(\sim -1\), massive stars alone seriously under produce \(^{25}\text{Mg}\) and \(^{26}\text{Mg}\) with respect to \(^{24}\text{Mg}\). Much better agreement is obtained by including the contribution from AGB
Figure 1: Variation of magnesium isotopic ratios with metallicity [Fe/H]. $^{25}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ and $^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ are shown in the upper and lower panels, respectively. Circles correspond to stellar abundances observed by Gay & Lambert (2000), while diamonds represent a sample of halo and thick disk stars from Yong (2003). The large cross appearing in both panels indicates typical observational errors. Solar values appear as squares. The predicted trend of our solar neighbourhood model incorporating Mg isotopic yields from AGBs (solid line) is shown against a model without the AGB contribution (dotted line). Both models arrive at similar present-day values, however only the AGB model matches the empirical data at low metallicities.
Figure 2: Evolution of $^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ as a function of [Fe/H] at different Galactic radii. Symbols have the same meaning as in Fig 1. The curves correspond to predicted behaviour at seven evenly spaced radii, ranging from 4-16 kpc for the $LSC + AGB$ model. The green line indicates the solar radius (8 kpc).

stars. In particular, most of the heavy Mg isotopic abundance at low metallicity is controlled by the 4-6 $M_\odot$ stars that undergo hot bottom burning and whose He-shells are hot enough to trigger the $^{22}\text{Ne}(\alpha, n)^{25}\text{Mg}$ and $^{22}\text{Ne}(\alpha, \gamma)^{26}\text{Mg}$ reactions (Karakas & Lattanzio 2003a,b). These stars typically have lifetimes between 60 and 170 Myr.

The high abundances of $^{25}\text{Mg}$ and $^{26}\text{Mg}$ from the Yong (2003) data-set pose more of a challenge and are difficult to reconcile with our models, even with an AGB contribution. These stars were selected by their large transverse velocities as part of an effort to compile a sample of metal-poor unevolved subdwarfs (Yong & Lambert 2003). Based on their high reduced proper motion, it is likely that most of the sample is distinct from the thin disk, belonging instead to the halo at low metallicities or the thick disk at higher metallicities. One might expect that stars enhanced in $^{25}\text{Mg}$ and $^{26}\text{Mg}$ are also either 1) rich in s-process elements if they have been heavily contaminated by AGB stars; or 2) $\alpha$-enhanced if they belong to the halo/thick disk. Yong (2003) reports that there does not appear to be an obvious relationship between high values of $^{25,26}\text{Mg}$ and the abundance of s-process or $\alpha$-elements.

The predicted trend of $^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ with [Fe/H] is plotted in Figure 2 at different Galactic radii for the $LSC + AGB$ model. The dotted curve corresponds to the innermost radius of the model (4 kpc) and the dashed curve to the outermost radius (16 kpc). The exponential timescale for infalling gas was assumed to increase linearly with radius, taking on a value of 7 Gyr at the solar radius. Due to this “inside-out” accretion scenario and the radially-dependent star formation law, the timescale of SF is much longer in the outer disk. Only $^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ is plotted because the $^{25}\text{Mg}$ line is less reliably determined than the $^{26}\text{Mg}$ line due to more severe blending with the $^{24}\text{Mg}$ line (Gay & Lambert 2000). This model predicts a greater spread in $^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ in metal-poor stars versus metal-rich stars throughout the Galaxy. The dispersion in the metal-poor regime reflects the dependence of $^{25}\text{Mg}$ and $^{26}\text{Mg}$ abundance on the timescale of star formation. Since the lowest metallicity AGB models predict the highest $^{25}\text{Mg}$ and $^{26}\text{Mg}$ yields, environments such as the outer disk, with protracted star formation and slowly increasing metallicity over time, encourage generations of IMS to elevate $^{25}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ and $^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$. The inner Galaxy by contrast, is believed to have experienced intense...
star formation very early in its history, rapidly enriching the ISM with metals. Once [Fe/H] exceeds about −1, massive stars begin to surpass IMS as the chief source of neutron-rich Mg isotopes. The spread becomes smaller for [Fe/H] > −1, at which point metallicity rather than star formation history drives \(^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}\).

Figure 3 illustrates the lack of dispersion across different radii for the LSC no AGB model. There is some scatter in \(^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}\) at high [Fe/H] that stems from the delayed release of iron from Type Ia SNe. As most of the ejecta from SNe Ia is in the form of iron, these events increase [Fe/H] to a greater extent than overall metallicity. A consequence of the characteristic SNe Ia time delay of about 1 Gyr is that for the same abundance of iron, the inner disk is expected to be α-enhanced and therefore have greater total abundance of nuclei than the outer disk. With more seed nuclei, the inner disk for a given value of [Fe/H] should see higher values of \(^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}\) than the outer disk. Precisely this effect is revealed in Figure 3.

For the sake of comparing how robust our results are to explosive SNe yields from different authors, we plot in Figure 4 the predicted evolution of \(^{25}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}\) and \(^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}\) for the solar neighbourhood for the LSC no AGB and WW no AGB models. There is excellent agreement between the two models, although Woosley & Weaver’s (1995) nucleosynthesis models predict about 20% less \(^{25}\text{Mg}\) than \(^{26}\text{Mg}\), while Limongi et al. (2000,2002) produce these isotopes in roughly equal numbers. The Mg isotopic yields depend on the adopted \(^{12}\text{C}(\alpha,\gamma)^{16}\text{O}\) rate (Imbriani et al. 2001). Specifically, lowering the value of \(^{12}\text{C}(\alpha,\gamma)^{16}\text{O}\) leads to higher final yields of \(^{25}\text{Mg}\) but leaves the heavier Mg isotopes relatively unaffected. The Limongi et al. yields employed in this Milky Way model use a large \(^{12}\text{C}(\alpha,\gamma)^{16}\text{O}\) rate, hence producing low \(^{24}\text{Mg}\) yields. The \(^{25}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}\) and \(^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}\) ratios could be decreased with a lower \(^{12}\text{C}(\alpha,\gamma)^{16}\text{O}\) value such as that from Kunz et al. (2002) which is used in the latest version of the FRANEC code (Limongi & Chieffi 2003). In this case, the Mg isotopic ratios could be reconciled with solar values, but at the expense of obtaining a good fit to the Gay & Lambert (2000) data.

One of the greatest uncertainties in the model concerns the precise form of the stellar IMF. While most elemental yields are fairly robust to changes in the slope and limits of the IMF, this is not the case for the heavy Mg isotopes, whose production in solar metallicity massive stars rises very sharply with stellar mass. Thus, the most massive stars play a crucial role in determining \(^{25}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}\) and \(^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}\) despite being vastly outnumbered by lower mass stars. Figure 5 displays the yield of \(^{26}\text{Mg}\) relative to \(^{24}\text{Mg}\) as a function of initial mass for the solar metallicity models of Karakas & Lattanzio (2003a,b) and Limongi et al. (2000,2002) (solid...
Figure 4: Evolution of magnesium isotopic ratios for models using different nucleosynthetic prescriptions for massive star yields. Red lines denote massive star yields from Woosley & Weaver (1995) and black lines denote yields from Limongi et al. (2000; 2002). The AGB contribution has been ignored for the sake of comparison. There is good agreement between the two sets of yields.

The solar metallicity massive star yields extend only as far as 35 M\(\odot\) and were linearly extrapolated up to 100 M\(\odot\). The largest mass in the AGB models is 6 M\(\odot\). For most elements, including Fe and \(^{24}\text{Mg}\), the yields between 6-8 M\(\odot\) were derived by extrapolating up from the AGB models. However we conservatively assumed that the 6-8 M\(\odot\) stars eject the same quantity of \(^{25}\text{Mg}\) and \(^{26}\text{Mg}\) as the 6 M\(\odot\) star. This causes a dip in \(^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}\) at 8 M\(\odot\). Superimposed on the same figure are IMFs from Salpeter (1955) (dotted line) and Kroupa, Tout & Gilmore (1993) (dashed line) normalized over the mass range 0.08-100 M\(\odot\). The Salpeter IMF has the form of a single slope power-law (this model uses an index of 1.31) and places a higher proportion of the mass of a stellar generation into both the lower and upper extremes of the mass distribution when compared with the Kroupa et al. (1993) IMF. It is apparent that the role of stars between 0.3 \(\leq M/M\odot \leq 6\) is emphasised by adopting a Kroupa et al. IMF, whereas the frequency of high mass stars is increased with the Salpeter IMF.

One can anticipate that a Kroupa et al. (1993) IMF would give rise to more AGB stars than a Salpeter (1955) function, leading to higher values of \(^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}\) at low metallicity. At metallicities approaching solar however, the Salpeter IMF should generate the highest \(^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}\) ratios, since it favours the birth of massive stars when compared with the Kroupa et al. law. The influence of the IMF can be seen by comparing the results presented in Figure 6, which were derived using the Salpeter function, with those from Figure 2. A ratio of \(^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg} \sim 0.3\) at [Fe/H] = 0 is obtained with a Salpeter IMF. This is \sim 50\% higher than in the Kroupa et al. case and over twice the solar value. Owing to the production of fewer AGB stars, \(^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}\) at [Fe/H] = −2 in the Salpeter case is about half the value derived with the Kroupa IMF. The Kroupa et al. model is in better agreement with the Gay & Lambert (2000) data set. Although the large values of \(^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}\) measured by Yong (2003) in higher metallicity stars could be attained by increasing the role of massive stars, this comes at the expense of satisfying observations at low [Fe/H]. Multi-component IMFs with steeper slopes at high mass are favoured over the Salpeter single power law on both observational and theoretical grounds (e.g. Kroupa et al. 1993; Scalo 1986).

It has been suggested that the mass distribution of a stellar generation is influenced by factors including...
Figure 5: Yield of $^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ vs initial stellar mass for solar metallicity nucleosynthesis models (solid line). Yields for low and intermediate-mass stars are from Karakas & Lattanzio (2003a,b) and from Limongi et al. (2000; 2002) for massive stars. The dotted line corresponds to the Salpeter (1955) initial mass function (IMF) and the dashed line indicates the Kroupa, Tout & Gilmore (1993) IMF. Both functions are normalised to unity over the mass range 0.08-100 $M_\odot$ (the scale appears on the right-hand vertical axis).

the thermal energy and chemical composition of the star-forming gas (Larson 1998). Accordingly, the IMF might be expected to evolve over time. There are theoretical arguments that the IMF of primordial gas would be biased toward higher mass stars, while higher metallicity environments would form relatively more low-mass stars (Kroupa 2001). If the IMF followed this trend then the fit between our model predictions and empirical constraints would worsen. At present however, the observational evidence for a variable IMF is not overwhelming.

4 Conclusions

Thermally-pulsing AGB stars are shown to be excellent candidates for the additional production site of neutron-rich Mg isotopes needed to account for observations at low metallicities. The failure of previous chemical evolution models to match $^{25}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ and $^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ observed in local metal-poor stars may be resolved if intermediate-mass stars produce $^{25}\text{Mg}$ and $^{26}\text{Mg}$ in quantities given by the calculations of Karakas & Lattanzio (2003a,b).

According to the chemical evolution model presented in this paper, massive stars are responsible for most of the heavy Mg isotopes in the present-day ISM, but played a secondary role to 4-6 $M_\odot$ AGB stars at earlier epochs. A consequence of this model is that the spread in $^{25}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ and $^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ should be greater at low metallicities across the Milky Way, although this conclusion was shown to be sensitive to the adopted initial mass function. While the high ratios of $^{25}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ and $^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ in Yong’s (2003) halo and thick disk sample remain a mystery and warrant further investigation, our model provides an excellent match to the measurements of local stars from Gay & Lambert (2000).
Figure 6: Same as Fig 2. but for the case of a single component Salpeter (1955) initial mass function in place of Kroupa, Tout & Gilmore (1993).
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